Challenges of Turbocharging a Two-Cylinder Engine – A Computational Analysis of a Turbocharger Turbine by Transient CFD Methods
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Abstract

Drive train development is facing demands for reduced emissions and growing expectations for driving dynamics coupled with declining fuel consumption. Downsizing in combination with turbocharging is a key technology to ensure increased power density and efficiency, at reduced emission levels. Up to now, quasi-steady behavior of the turbocharger has been assumed in the turbocharger design. However, the inflow conditions for radial turbines are actually quite different for real pulsating engine operations, compared to steady and quasi-steady conditions [1]. The aim of reducing the fuel consumption with fewer cylinders leads to a further change in the boundary conditions for the turbocharger [7]. As a result, the quasi-steady behavior, especially in two cylinder engines, does not seem to be valid and this has to be taken into account during the design process [2], [3].

This paper presents a numerical investigation of a pulse charged turbocharger turbine to gain an understanding of the aerodynamics at different pulse frequencies. A validated CFD-model is used to compute two and four-cylinder pulses. The time dependent boundary conditions for pressure and temperature are generated via 1D simulation. To make both pulse frequencies comparable, the turbine simulations were run with the same specific exhaust cycle enthalpy.

The analyses of the results shows a significant deterioration of the turbine operating behavior at low end torque due to the pulsating inflow of a two cylinder pulse compared to a four cylinder pulse. Higher engine speeds reduce the disadvantages. Furthermore it can be shown that the storage effect of the volute and the phase shift in temperature and pressure influences the throughput behavior of the turbine. For that the specific pulsating flow conditions must be considered for an optimization of the turbocharger of a two-cylinder engine.
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INTRODUCTION

More stringent emissions standards and a reduction in fuel consumption represent the major challenges for automotive industry. Displacement reduction is considered to be a suitable way to achieve the legal requirements. Schernus et al [9] shows that the lowest CO₂ emissions for vehicles with an inertia class less than 1130 kg occur at a displacement of 0.8 liter. Two-cylinder engines are at advantage because of their better single-cylinder volume and due to this light consumption benefits compared to three-cylinder engines. The radial turbines operate under strongly non-steady exhaust pulses. The boundary conditions of a turbocharger turbine therefore changes depending on the engine firing frequency [4].
Furthermore, it has been found that test bench measurements do not match the pulsed conditions. Deviations of cycle averaged turbine performance; the efficiency and the mass flow rate differ from stationary measured turbochargers [2]. This makes an accurate the turbocharger modeling in the 1D simulation very complex. For two-cylinder engines, the pulsating exhaust gas flow has a particularly higher priority, since the bigger fluctuations in the pressure of the exhaust gas, the mass flow and the temperature have a far greater impact on the turbocharger behavior than for engines with more than two cylinders. Real engine conditions are difficult to realize on turbocharger test benches. The experimental measurement of high-frequency temperatures and mass flows is still a major problem [1]. This can be attributed to the thermal inertia during temperature measurements. A reliable measurement of the data can still not be carried out satisfactorily. Changes in the flow direction of the fluid further complicate the measurements. A detailed numerical analysis of the inlet conditions on a radial turbocharger turbine is performed. First a steady state validation with experimental test bench data is done. Furthermore transient real engine boundary conditions are generated for a two-cylinder engine in three different operating points via 1D simulation. Additionally, three artificial four-cylinder engine boundary conditions are generated which allow the usage as a reference to quantify the transient two-cylinder pulse turbine performance.

1 EXPERIMENT
Numerical methods such as 1D and 3D simulations require a
preliminary validation of the models. In this context, both the engine and the turbocharger used were stationary measured on test benches (engine and turbocharger).

1.1 Test engine
A 0.8 liter two-cylinder diesel engine with a performance power of 35 kW was used for the validation of the 1D simulation model. During the test bench measurements, the speed of the turbocharger, the stationary pressure and temperature were measured before and after the turbine for different engine operating points. The pressure signal was measured with high temporal resolution using quartz crystals at the same place as the steady-state values. The measurement result has been used for the validation of 1D simulation engine model. Figure 1 shows the pressure gradient over the crank angle in addition, the valve lift is shown. More engine details can be found in [10].

1.2 Test turbocharger
The turbocharger used is currently the smallest available turbocharger on the market for diesel engines (type designation: GT0632SZ, manufactured by the company Honeywell Turbo Technologies). It is controlled via a waste gate. The diameter of the turbine impeller is 30 mm, the diameter of the compressor wheel is 32 mm. For the present study, a standard map on the hot-gas test bench for turbochargers was measured at the Department of Internal Combustion Engines at TU Berlin with a turbine inlet temperature of $T_{turbine\ inlet} = 600^\circ\text{C}$. Furthermore a second adiabatic map was measured for the validation of the CFD model.

![Figure 1: Pressure indication before and after turbine](image)

Finally a sensitivity analysis of the pulse was carried out. In this, an artificial pressure pulse was generated by a cylinder head which was fed with compressed air. Different pulses frequencies could be modeled by changing the speed of the camshaft. The sensitivity analysis was used to examine the influence of the pulse frequency on the turbocharger speed.

2 NUMERICS METHODS AND VALIDATION
The detection of high-frequency flow phenomenon can currently be detected only on the numerical path. For this reason, a stationary model is presented here. This corresponds to the turbocharger test bench. Additionally a second transient model is presented. This uses the same mesh for the impeller and the housing, only the inlet and the outlet have been changed to save simulation time. The transient simulations run with 1D simulation generated boundary conditions and for this does not require the test bead measuring tubes (inlet and outlet).

2.1 Numerical model
The flow calculations of the turbocharger are based on a finite volume approach for solving steady and unsteady RANS/URANS equations (Reynolds-Averaged-Navier-Stokes/Unsteady-Reynolds-Averaged-Navier-Stokes).

The simulations were carried out with the commercial code ANSYS CFX, version 15.0.7. The tool uses an implicit discrete approach. The simulations were run with the setting high resolution which uses the second order backward Euler scheme when it is required to maintain a bounded solution it switch to the first order backward Euler.

The SST-turbulence model (SST = shear stress transport) was used in combination with the wall function. The transfer of information between the rotating and stationary domains was carried out by the frozen-rotor approach, which has been proven by [1] and [6] for steady and transient simulation. The walls were modelled as adiabatic and ideally smooth and the turbulence intensity was set to 5%. The break up criterion for the simulations has been set to the maximum residuals ($R_{max} = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$). During the simulation the mass flow, pressure ratio and the temperatures at the inlet and outlet was monitored. Table 1 shows the mesh types which were used for the discretization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stationary CFD model</th>
<th>Transient CFD model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>inlet (hexa)</td>
<td>volute (tetra)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manifold (tetra)</td>
<td>impeller (hexa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volute (tetra)</td>
<td>body (tetra)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impeller (hexa)</td>
<td>outlet (hexa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>body (tetra)</td>
<td>outlet (hexa)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The operating points were set by specifying a constant total temperature, total pressure at the inlet and a static pressure at the outlet. The data for the boundary conditions was imported from the adiabatic turbocharger test bench measurements. Finally the turbocharger speed and the material values for exhaust gas were set.

**Transient CFD model:** The boundary conditions for the transient simulation were derived from 1D simulation (crank angle resolved). They are modelled as a time dependent function. The temporally varying total pressure and the
temporally varying total temperature were set at the volute inlet, see Figure 3.

Figure 2: 2.3 million model (left), 1.0 million model (right)

At the outlet, a constant steady pressure was defined. The prior evaluation of the engine tests showed that the rotational speed of the turbocharger during the 2C engine operation varies by less than 1%, see Figure 4. The variation during the 4C operating is estimated to be even lower. For that, the turbocharger speed was set as constant.

Figure 3: Boundary conditions transient simulation

The time steps were adjusted to the operating point. Since the simulation was run with the frozen rotor approach only the pulse frequency had to be resolved which led to a bigger time step. For the different operating points the time step has been set as follows: \( \Delta_{OP1} = 8.0 \cdot 10^{-5}s \), \( \Delta_{OP2} = 6.85 \cdot 10^{-5}s \), and \( \Delta_{OP3} = 5.33 \cdot 10^{-5}s \). The transient simulations were calculated with the 1.0 million mesh model. It has been used in order to decrease simulation time. Figure 2 (right) shows it. The initial conditions for the transient simulated were taken from a previous calculated steady state simulation.

2.2 Validation

High temperatures on the turbine side affect the oil in the bearing housing and also the compressed fresh air on the compressor side during the experimental measurement. It thus acts directly on the experimental determined efficiency.

To ensure a sufficient mesh density a grid independence study was carried out. Every domain of the turbocharger model was refined separately. The density was increased until the changes in the monitored values were negligible. The employed RANS code uses a wall-function for the boundary layer resolution. To ensure an optimum functionality of it, at least 10 nodes were places in the boundary layer. A preferably small model was needed for keeping the transient simulation feasible in a reasonable time.

The validation shows the qualification of the 2.3-million nodes mesh for further investigations. To quantify the error of the 2.3-million-model a second model with a doubled mesh number has been generated. The two models were compared to each other. Only small deviations could be observed between the integral values (reduced mass flow rate and a deviation in the turbine efficiency of less than 2%), see Figure 5. The detailed analysis of the internal velocity distribution

Since an accurate numerical simulation of heat transfer in the turbocharger is hard to achieve (detailed solid temperature, solid materials and \( \gamma^+ = 1-2 \) required) commonly adiabatic validation are carried out. In this case adiabatic conditions could be reached by aligning the temperature of fluid in the compressor, the turbine and the oil temperature to reduce heat flux \( (T_{inlet} = T_{oil} = T_{outlet}) \). In this way, nearly adiabatic conditions are achieved for the turbocharger test bench measurements.
shows minor deviations, Figure 6. These were accepted to shorten the computation time.

Figure 6: Internal velocity distribution

3 TRANSIENT BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

To create a valuation basis for the operating behavior of the turbine during a 2C pulse, an additional artificial 4C pulse was modeled. A 4C pulse was selected as reference because of its high acceptance in the European market. Due to this a 4C pulse counts as the standard operating conditions for turbochargers. Two exhaust characteristics (2C and 4C) were calculated for three engine operating points.

The investigation of the thermodynamic behavior of the gas turbine requires highly resolved pressure and temperature gradients of the exhaust gas over time. These are set as boundary conditions for the numerical simulation. Because of the problematic detection of the experimental data, a 1D simulation model of the 2C engine was used. The model had previously been validated with the engine test bench data. Three different operating points of the 2C engine were calculated.

Table 2. Investigated engine operating points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OP #</th>
<th>( \text{rpm}_{\text{Engine}} )</th>
<th>( P_{\text{me}} )</th>
<th>( \text{rpm}_{\text{TC}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>155,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>255,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The artificial 4C pulse could be created by firstly adjusting the ignition angle. A change was made from 360° CA (2C) to 180° CA (4C). Eq. 2 shows the approach for determining the specific exhaust gas enthalpy, equal to [8].

\[
h_{\text{exhaust}} = h_{\text{tt3-4}} = \int_{0^\circ}^{720^\circ} c_{p,3} \cdot T_{3t}(\varphi) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{P_{3t}(\varphi)}{P_{3t}(\varphi)} \right)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \right] \text{ d}\varphi
\]

In addition the total inlet pressure and the total inlet temperature were integrated over a cycle and then scaled down to the level of the 2C pulse, see Eq. 3-7. As a result a 4C pulse with specific cycle exhaust gas enthalpy of a 2C engine was created.

For both engine pulses, an equal turbocharger speed was set for equal operating points. The mass flow of the turbine adjusts itself according to expansion ratio and the efficiency.

\[
P_{3t,2\text{Cyl}} = P_{3t,4\text{Cyl}} \tag{5}
\]

\[
T_{3t,2\text{Cyl}} = T_{3t,4\text{Cyl}} \tag{6}
\]

\[
h_{\text{exhaust,tt2 Cyl}} = h_{\text{exhaust,tt4 Cyl}} \tag{7}
\]

Dispersed engine operating points were selected to represent a wide operating range on the engine performance map. The selected points can be seen in Table 2. The first operating point (OP: 1) is a full load point at lower engine speed (1500 rpm), while OP: 2 is a partial load point (1750 rpm) and OP: 3 describes the point with the maximum torque (2250 rpm).

Figure 7 (left) shows the specific enthalpy gradients over the crank angle. The variations of enthalpy during a working cycle can be seen. The full load point shows the greatest variations. Figure 7 (right) shows the integrals of the specific exhaust gas enthalpy. The conformity of the integral values allows the use of these boundary conditions for further investigations.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Aerodynamics

Four-cylinder pulses show higher turbine mass flow rates; see Figure 8. The through flow difference is most evident in OP: 1; here the value is 28% higher compared to the 2C. The other operating points differ in the order of 16%. Schernus et. al [7] made comparable observations. They note that the turbine at 2C pulses seems to “behave” smaller than at pulses with a higher cylinder number. The reduced through flow can also be seen in Figure 9. Here the reduced turbine mass flow rate is plotted against the expansion ratio.

Heat transfer influences on the housing, speed variations and temperature effects on the mass flow can be excluded because of the adiabatic boundary conditions and the reduced mass flow. Furthermore, the reduced turbine mass flow in all operating points is shown. The red hysteresis loop represents the system boundary in the sections 3-4 (complete stage) for the 2C pulse. Additionally the black hysteresis loop represents...
the system boundary in the sections 31-41 (impeller) for the 2C pulse. Figure 10 shows the diagrams for the 4C pulse. Comparable maximum through flows can be monitored between the 2C and the 4C pulse in every operating point. This behavior can be explained by the flow function. Here the reduced mass flow is a product of the flow function \( \Psi \), the ideal gas constant \( R \) and the effective turbine cross-section \( A_{T, \text{eff}} \), see Eq. 8.

\[
\dot{m}_{\text{red}} = A_{T, \text{eff}} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{R}} \Psi \tag{8}
\]

According to Eq. 9 the flow function is dependent on \( \kappa \) and the expansion ratio. It cannot be increased from a critical expansion ratio, see Eq. 10. The maximum through flow adjusts independent from the pulse frequency.

\[
\Psi_{31-4} = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\kappa - 1}} \left[ \left( \frac{p_3}{p_{31}} \right)^\frac{2}{\kappa} - \left( \frac{p_4}{p_{31}} \right)^\frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa} \right] \tag{9}
\]

After reaching the critical value, a rise in the reduced mass flow can only be caused by changes of the effective turbine cross-section.

\[
\Psi_{\text{max}} = \left( \frac{2}{\kappa + 1} \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa + 1}} \tag{10}
\]

It is remarkable that the expansion ratio range of a 2C pulse is much larger than that of the 4C. At very small expansion ratios, the 2C even reaches reduces mass flow values close to zero. At an expansion ratio \( \Pi_{T,0} \), Eq. 11, the turbine is running into a stagnation point, as shown in Figure 11. Because of the higher pressure level such conditions are usually not reached by 4C pulses.

At the stagnation point, the gas impulse forces are no longer sufficient to the centrifugal forces caused by the rotation of the impeller, see Eq. 11, and the flow stagnates, see Figure 11.

Figure 9: reduced turbine mass flow 2C

In this case, a constant speed has been specified for the impeller rotation; due to this negative mass flows can occur at high turbocharger speeds and very small expansion ratios.

Over a cycle, the expansion ratio is fluctuating much more at a 2C pulse. At high expansion ratios the maximum through flow is reached and cannot be increased further. At small expansion ratios, the through flow stagnates. The 4C pulse makes the turbine run more often in a subcritical range. Thus the turbine has a better through flow.

\[
\Pi_{T,0} = \left[ 1 - \frac{\pi^2 \cdot \eta^2}{2 \cdot c_{\text{rT}} \cdot T_{3t}} \cdot \left( D_p^3 - D_s^3 \right) \right]^{\frac{k \rho}{k - 1}} \tag{11}
\]

The emptying period shows the highest reduced mass flow. During a pressure pulse, different tip speed ratios can occur at the same expansion ratio \( \frac{p_3}{p_{3t}} \). The pressure propagates with the speed of sound. Due to the traveling time it comes to an offset of the expansion ratios in the sections 3 and 31. The boundary conditions at section 3 do not correspond to the boundary conditions at section 31. The turbine mass flow rate depends on the conditions in section 31. The offset in the reduced mass flow between 3 and 31 can be attributed to the storage effect in the volute.

Furthermore, non-optimal flow conditions in front of the impeller influence the mass flow rate. The tip speed ratio is composed of the circumferential speed of the impeller and the isentropic nozzle velocity, see Eq. 12. An optimum tip speed ratio is in the range of approximately 0.6-0.7, depending on the design of the turbine.
turbine cross-section and the tip speed ratio. At constant expansion ratios, the effective turbine cross-section decreases with increasing speed ratio. Thus high tip speed ratios reduce the turbine through flow. The tip speed ratio depends on $C_{pT}$ and $\kappa$ and the total temperature. In accordance with the numerical boundary conditions, $C_{pT}$ and $\kappa$ can be considered as constant. The temperature $T_{31t}$ and the expansion ratio $\frac{P_{41}}{P_{31t}}$ change during a pressure pulse. The expansion ratio has the greatest impact on the tip speed ratio.

The comparison of the tip speed ratio in Figure 12 and Figure 13 show clearly the benefits of 4C pulse. The turbine is operating in a nearly constant tip speed ratio, close to the design point. Under 2C pulse the turbine is operating in poor regions, especially at low expansion ratios.

### 4.2 Specific power provision

Due to the 1st main equation of turbocharging there is an equilibrium of power between turbine and compressor. Both are linked by a common shaft. In a steady state point the equilibrium determines the turbocharger speed. In a transient simulation a deviation of the compressor or turbine power leads to a change in turbocharger speed. Furthermore the equation for the equilibrium has to be expanded by the inertia power which acts during acceleration or deceleration. The turbine power can be determined as follows:

$$P_T = P_C + P_T (+P_{in})$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

The power output of the turbine is in charge for the intake mass flow, the specific heat capacities of the fluid and temperature. It can be calculated as:

$$P_T = \dot{m}_T \cdot c_{p, exhaust} \cdot (T_{31t} - T_{41})$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

---

**Figure 10**: reduced turbine mass flow 4C

**Figure 11**: stagnation point OP: 1

The tip speed ratio is an indicator for the optimum inflow angle. It affects both the turbine efficiency and the through flow performance.

$$S_{31} = \frac{\pi \cdot D_3 \cdot n_T}{2 \cdot c_{pT} \cdot T_{31t} \cdot \left(1 - \left(\frac{P_{41}}{P_{31t}}\right)^{\kappa-1}\right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

Here the through flow is dependent on the effective turbine cross-section. The effective turbine cross-section can be determined as follows:

$$\dot{m}_{red,31} = \dot{m}_{T} \cdot \sqrt{T_{31t}} = A_{T,eff} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{R}} \cdot \left(\frac{P_{41}}{P_{31t}}\right)^{\kappa-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

$$A_{T,eff} = \frac{\dot{m}_{red,31}}{\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{R} \cdot \psi\left(\frac{P_{41}}{P_{31t}}\right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

According to [5] there is a relationship between the effective
In the following the specific power output of the turbine will be analyzed. To evaluate the specific power provision of the radial turbine, the specific enthalpy over the entire turbine stage was measured, see Figure 14. The overall highest values for the turbine enthalpy can be monitored at the 2C pulse. This is due to the partial higher expansion ratio and temperature.

For both pulse frequencies very small or negative power output could be determined. This can be traced back to small expansion ratios and partly reversed flow for the 2C pulse. The equation for the specific exhaust gas enthalpy is shown in Eq. 17.

\[ h_{T,1s,tt} = c_{p\text{exhaust}} \cdot (T_{3t} - T_{4t}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

The consideration of enthalpy integral over the cycle shows that the biggest advantages of the 4C pulse are at low speeds and high loads. With increasing speed the specific enthalpy of both pulses is equal.

The partially negative specific enthalpy of the 2C is compensated to the higher speeds and higher inlet pressures and temperatures.

4.3 Turbine efficiency

The determination of the isentropic efficiency under real pulsating conditions represents a challenge and has been a topic of research for many years; see [1], [2], [3], [4]. In this chapter the problem of the determination of the temporal resolved efficiency is demonstrated based on the operating point BP: 3.

**Turbine stage (section 4-3):** The standard determination of the pulsating efficiency leads to unphysical values as shown in Figure 15.

Incorrect efficiency values are mainly due to storage effects. These occur in the components of the turbine (e.g. volute casing and turbine housing). Delayed gas exchange in the volute affects the total temperature after the impeller; see Figure 18 (top left).

\[ \eta_{T,1s,tt} = \frac{1 - \frac{T_{4t}}{T_{3t}}}{1 - \left(\frac{P_{4t}}{P_{3t}}\right)^{\kappa-1}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

While the temperature \( T_{3t} \) decreases in proportion to the falling pressure (120 ° CA) the temperature \( T_{4t} \) remains in this area until \( T_{3t} \) briefly falls below \( T_{4t} \). The turbine housing is filled with old hot exhaust gas at this point. When the temperature of the gas is falling the mass flow is insufficient to push out the old gas. The fresh cold gas mixes with the old gas. A mixing temperature is measured at section 4, which does not allow conclusions to be drawn about the turbine efficiency.

**Figure 13:** Influence of the tip speed ratio (4C)

**Figure 14:** Cycle integrated specific turbine power

**Figure 15:** Isentropical turbine efficiency section (3-4) (left), section (31-41) (right)
Figure 16 shows the moment when the space behind the impeller is filled with warm gas and fresh cold gas is exiting the impeller. The efficiency becomes negative at this point.

**Figure 16: Efficiency values \( \eta < 0 \) (cold filling)**

Figure 17 shows the moment when the space behind the impeller is filled with old cold gas and fresh warm gas is entering the impeller. The old gas mixes with the fresh gas. The resulting mixing temperature becomes lower than the real turbine outlet temperature. The consequences are isentropic efficiencies greater than one.

**Figure 17: Efficiency values \( \eta > 1 \) (hot filling)**

**Turbine impeller (section 31-41):** The influence of the storage effects can be avoided by reducing the system boundary to the sections 31-41. Figure 15 (right) and Figure 18 (right) show a corresponding attempt. The impeller can be treated as quasi-stationary, [1], [2].

The gas in front of the impeller starts recirculating and heats up. \( T_{3 \text{ff}} \) increases in dependence to \( T_{3t} \), and simultaneously the pressure level decreases over the turbine. \( T_{3t} \) remains temporarily in the stagnation region at an elevated temperature level, see Figure 19. This leads to isentropic efficiencies greater than one.

**5 CONCLUSION**

A numerical study of the turbine behavior of a turbocharger turbine at different engine pulse frequencies was carried out. The investigations were performed by transient 3D CFD simulations. A 2C engine pulse and a 4C pulse have been investigated. The artificial 4C pulse was created to give the analysis a quantitative reference.

2C engines have a doubled ignition angle compared to 4C engines. This results in a significantly increased time interval between the exhaust valve openings. The fluctuation of inlet pressure and temperature increases (more time for the impeller to reduce the pressure until the next valve opening). During this analysis the cycle averaged pressure and temperature and thus the specific exhaust enthalpy for both types of pulses were held constant. As a result the pressure and temperature peaks were smaller at the 4C pulse (the pressure was spread over four instead of two cylinders.)

The analyses shows the biggest disadvantages of the 2C pulse in the area of low end torque. The variations in the expansion ratio are highest here. Small expansion ratios lead to stagnation or back flow, furthermore very high expansion ratios lead to choke. The influence on the cycle integrated reduced mass and specific power output is significant at high engine loads and small speeds. Operating points with higher engine speed reduce the 2C disadvantages.

The major finding in this paper is that the hysteresis loop is not only influenced by storage effects in the volute. Observing only the impeller in the system boundary does not make the hysteresis disappear. A second cause is the effect of phase shift in temperature and pressure in the volute. Upstream of the impeller the shift changes the blade speed ratio (high speed ratios lead to lower effective turbine cross-sections) and due to this reduces the swallowing capacity of the turbine.

The determination of the transient turbine efficiency is still a major problem. A reduced system boundary (only impeller)
eliminates the storage problem and is simply done by the CFD methods. During the investigations it is shown that this method is only valid for medium and high expansion ratios. The calculation of the transient efficiency close to the stagnation point is not possible. Due to reverse flow and friction caused heatup of the gas upstream the turbine impeller.
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